





## FLOWBEC: Assessing spatial variation in epifaunal communities in response to flow modification by a tidal stream turbine.

Jack P.J. O'Carroll<sup>1</sup>, Robert M. Kennedy<sup>1</sup>, Angus Creech<sup>2</sup>, Graham Savidge<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup> Ryan Institute, School of Natural Sciences, National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland <sup>2</sup>University of Edinburgh, Kings Buildings, Mayfield Road Edinburgh, EH9 3JL <sup>3</sup>Queen's University Marine Laboratory, 12-13 The Strand, Portaferry, Newtownards, County Down BT22 1PF, Northern Ireland



Introduction: The advent of marine renewable energy devices brings with it the need for new methods of assessing the associated impacts of energy extraction from the marine environment.

Fig.1: Map showing the location of the Strangford Lough Narrows and the turbine.

<u>Aims</u>: The aim of this study is to create a predictive model for change in benthic community structure in relation to velocity and turbulence change caused by the presence of a turbine.



Fig. 5: Map shows the position of the turbine and the positions of the drop-down video transects.





Fig. 2: Picture showing the turbine with its crossbeams and rotors raised from the water column.

<u>Methodology:</u> High resolution drop-down video footage of the seafloor will be used in conjunction with computational fluidity dynamics (CFD) model data and an artificial neural network in order to develop the predictive model.



Fig. 4: Figure shows a simulation of the CFD model. The turbulent wake created by the turbine can be seen dissipating downstream

<u>Conclusions</u>: A halo of disturbance (10-12m) can be observed around the turbine. This occurrence of this halo of disturbance was also detected by the HEHS index. The predictive model will give us an integrated prediction of disturbance which will encompass biological, environmental and hydromorphological predictor variables. This study may lead to improved ability to model the effects of proposed tidal devices in other locations.



Fig. 6: The HEHS index equation. Where PiBR= decimal proportion of barerock. H=Shannon Weinner value. S= No. of species. % massive=% coverage of massive/errect species. % crust= % coverage of encrusting species. ES class boundaries are assigned as follows; Bad 0-0.12 Poor 0.12-0.25 Moderate 0.25-0.45 Good 0.45-0.75 High 0.75-1.



Fig. 7: Pictures show the camera system (left) and the on-board operating unit (right).